



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,776	10/16/2001	Richter A. Rafey	80398.P447	6683
7590 11/22/2005			EXAMINER	
Maria McCorn	nack Sobrino, Esq.	REID, CHERYL M		
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z.	AFMAN LLP	·	
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2142	
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026			

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/981,776	RAFEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cheryl M. Reid	2142		
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ 3) □ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice units.	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mat	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wite 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the color of the c	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyal orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 09/981,776 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6, 9-18 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, and 13, 2-3, 10-11, (5, 12, 14, 17-18) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino et al (US 6662177) further in view of Nash (US 20010021994).
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 9, and 13, Martino teaches of : receiving user profile, wherein the user profile comprises a profile explicitly generated based on a user's input of preferences (col 1, lines 60-67, col 2, lines 25-30,40-45). Martino does not explicitly teach of the remaining limitations. In an analogous art, Nash teaches of implicitly refining the user profile wherein the implicit refining is based on the user's usage patterns of a device (paragraph 0058, lines 16-21), the implicitly refined user profile to control usage of the device (paragraph 0058, lines 21-28), wherein the implicitly refined user profile is used to control what advertisements are selected (used) by the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts at the time of the invention

4

Application/Control Number: 09/981,776 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

to incorporate the above teachings because the inventions are analogous art (i.e. relates to delivery of content based on user profile). One of ordinary skill in the arts at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above modifications because it would result in the delivery of content that the user is interested in which is an advantage as discussed by Nash (paragraph 0007).

- 4. In regards to claim 2, 11 Martino does not explicitly teach of the limitations.

 Nash teaches of implicitly refining comprises refining the user profile according to the user's usage patterns of the device (paragraph 0058, lines 16-21).
- 5. In regards to claim 3, Martino teaches of explicitly refining comprises enabling the user to modify the user profile based on the user's input of usage preferences (col 4, lines 20-30).
- 6. In regards to claim 6, 10 Nash teaches of refining the user profile by at least one process selected from the group consisting of explicitly refining and implicitly refining (paragraph 0058, lines 16-28).
- 7. In regards to claim 5, 12, 14, 17-18 have been set forth in the pervious office action mailed on 2/25/05.
- 8. Claims 4, 15-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Boe.
- 9. In regards to claim 4, 15-16 have been set forth in the pervious office action mailed on 2/25/05.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl M. Reid whose telephone number is 571 272 3903. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri (7-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571)272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,776 Page 5

Art Unit: 2142

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmr

BEATRIZ PRIETO
PRIMARY EXAMINER